APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 4/99 CB 18E

IMPORTANT: Please consult the "Instructions for Completing the Project Application" for assistance in completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: VILLAGE OF LINCOLN HEIGHTS CODE# 061-43722 DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUNTY: Hamilton DATE 09 / 15 / 00 CONTACT: MARK A. KLUESENER, P.E. PHONE # (513) 791 - 1700 (THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS DURING THE APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS) FAX (513) 791-1936 **E-MAIL** mkluesener@cds-assoc.com PROJECT NAME: LINDY AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS SUBDIVISION TYPE **FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED** PROJECT TYPE (Check All Requested & Enter Amount) (Check Only 1) (Check Largest Component) __1. County x 1. Grant \$ 201,480.00 x_1. Road __ 2. Loan S_____ _2. City ___2. Bridge/Culvert __ 3. Loan Assistance S 3. Township _3. Water Supply x 4. Village 4. Wastewater 5. Water/Sanitary District 5. Solid Waste (Section 6119 O.R.C.) 6. Stormwater TOTAL PROJECT COST:\$ 251,850.00 FUNDING REQUESTED:\$ 201,480.00 DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION To be completed by the District Committee ONLY GRANT:\$\(\frac{201_480_00}{\}\) LOAN ASSISTANCE:\$ SCIP LOAN: \$______ RATE:_____ % TERM: ______ yrs. RLP LOAN: \$______RATE:____% TERM: yrs. (Check Only 1) x State Capital Improvement Program Small Government Program Local Transportation Improvements Program FOR OPWC USE ONLY PROJECT NUMBER: C /C APPROVED FUNDING: \$ Local Participation_____ Loan Interest Rate: Loan Term: OPWC Participation _____ Project Release Date: __/_/_ Maturity Date: OPWC Approval: _____ Date Approved: ___/__/__ SCIP Loan _____ RLP Loan

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest Dollar)		тот	AL DOLLARS	FORCE ACCOUNT DOLLARS
a.)	Basic Engineering Services:		S	.00	
	Preliminary Design \$	00			
	Final Design	. 00			
	Bidding \$. 00			
	Construction Phase \$	00			
	Additional Engineering Services		\$.00	
	*Identify services and costs below.				
b.)	Acquisition Expenses:				
	Land and/or Right-of-Way		\$.00	
c.)	Construction Costs:		\$	228,954.00	
d.)	Equipment Purchased Directly:		\$.00	
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal: (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)		\$.00	
f.)	Construction Contingencies:		\$	22,896.00	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:		\$	251,850.00	
*List . Service	Additional Engineering Services here:	Cost:			

1.2	PROJECT FINANCIAL RESO (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)	URCES:	
		DOLLARS	%
a.)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$ <u>.00</u>	
b.)	Local Revenues	\$50,370.00	20%
c.)	Other Public Revenues ODOT Rural Development OEPA OWDA CDBG OTHER SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOUR	\$	
d.)	OPWC Funds 1. Grant 2. Loan 3. Loan Assistance	\$	80%
	SUBTOTAL OPWC RESOURC	ES:\$ <u>201,480.00</u>	80%
e.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOUR	CES:\$ <u>251,850.00</u>	100%
1.3	AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL F	UNDS:	
	Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chie</u> funds required for the project will be Schedule section.	f Financial Officer listed in sect e available on or before the ea	ion 5.2 certifying <u>all local share</u> rliest date listed in the Project
	ODOT PID# STATUS: (Check one) Traditional Local Planning Agency State Infrastructure B		

	DJECT INFORMATION ject is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.
PRO	JECT NAME: LINDY AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS
BRIE A:	EF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C): SPECIFIC LOCATION:
Mang Eleme	Avenue is an east-west street located near the center of Lincoln Heights between tham Drive and Anthony Wayne Avenue. The Lincoln Heights Community Center and entary School is located along the north side of Lindy Avenue just west of Mangham Both Anthony Wayne Avenue and Mangham Drive are north-south collector streets.
	PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45215
В:	PROJECT COMPONENTS:
Stabil and/or draina	depth asphalt pavement repair and total removal / reconstruction in some areas. ize existing subgrade. Replace existing cracked and deteriorated curb. Reconstruct repair existing catch basins. Add new catch basins and storm sewer pipe to improve age. Construct curb ramps where non-existent. Resurface pavement 24' to 28' wide new pavement markings.
Stabil and/or draina	ize existing subgrade. Replace existing cracked and deteriorated curb. Reconstruct repair existing catch basins. Add new catch basins and storm sewer pipe to improve age. Construct curb ramps where non-existent. Resurface pavement 24' to 28' wide
Stabil and/or draina with n	ize existing subgrade. Replace existing cracked and deteriorated curb. Reconstruct repair existing catch basins. Add new catch basins and storm sewer pipe to improve age. Construct curb ramps where non-existent. Resurface pavement 24' to 28' wide new pavement markings.
Stabil and/or draina with n C: Lindy projec	ize existing subgrade. Replace existing cracked and deteriorated curb. Reconstruct repair existing catch basins. Add new catch basins and storm sewer pipe to improve age. Construct curb ramps where non-existent. Resurface pavement 24' to 28' wide new pavement markings. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS: Avenue has a varying pavement width from 25' to 29' back to back of curb. The

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 15 Years - Roadway

Stormwater: Number of households served:

20 Years - Curb

50 Years - Storm Sewer

Attach <u>Registered Professional Engineer's</u> statement, with <u>original seal and signature</u> confirming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION: TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 251,850.00 TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$.00 4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: * BEGIN DATE END DATE 4.1 Engineering/Design: 02 / 05 / 01 05 / 07 / 01 4.2 Bid Advertisement and Award: 07 / 09 / 01 08 / 13 / 01

5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

CHIEF EXECUITIVE

5.1

5.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE	
	OFFICER	Ms. Shirley Salter
	TITLE	Mayor
	STREET	Village of Lincoln Heights
		1201 Steffens Avenue
	CITY/ZIP	Village of Lincoln Heights, Ohio 45215
	PHONE	(513) 733-5900
	FAX	(513) 733-4190
	E-MAIL	
5.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL	
	OFFICER	Ms. Carnell Matthews
	TITLE	Finance Director
	STREET	Village of Lincoln Heights
		1201 Steffens Avenue
	CITY/ZIP	Village of Lincoln Heights, Ohio 45215
	PHONE	(513) 733-5900
	FAX	(513) 733-4190
	E-MAIL	
5.3	PROJECT MANAGER	Mr. Herman Dantzler
	TITLE	Village Manager
	STREET	Village of Lincoln Heights
		1201 Steffens Avenue
	CITY/ZIP	Village of Lincoln Heights, Ohio 45215
	PHONE	(513) 733-5900
	FAX	(513) 733-4190
	E-MAIL	

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

 ^{4.1} Engineering/Design:
 02 / 05 / 01
 05 / 07 / 01

 4.2
 Bid Advertisement and Award:
 07 / 09 / 01
 08 / 13 / 01

 4.3
 Construction:
 09 / 10 / 01
 05 / 31 / 02

 4.4
 Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:
 / N/A /
 / N/A /

^{*} Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [] below that each item listed is attached.

- [x] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [x] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO, which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also, must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [N/A] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- [x] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's original seal or stamp and signature.
- [N/A] Projects which include new and expansion components <u>and</u> potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [x] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [x] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements, which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

Mr. Herman Dantzler, Village Manager

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Herman M Dantles 9/19/08
Signature/Date Signed

CDS Associates, Inc.

LINDY AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS FROM ANTHONY WAYNE AVENUE TO MANGHAM DRIVE PROJECT:

VILLAGE OF LINCOLN HEIGHTS, OHIO

DATE: 9/15/00 Project: 2000018-05

SCIP

ltem No	Spec. No	ITEM	Estimated Quantity	Unit of Measure	Unit Cost Total	ltem Gost
-	203	PAVEMENT REMOVAL AND EXCAVATION	950	λO	\$25.00	\$23.750.00
2	253	PAVEMENT REPAIR	300	75	A 200 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
				D.	00.004	00,000,014
e0	254	PAVEMENT PLANING	3,200	SY	\$2.00	\$6,400.00
4	301	6" BITUMINOUS AGGREGATE BASE COURSE	350	ζ	\$70.00	\$24,500.00
2	304	6" AGGREGATE BASE	350	CY	\$40.00	\$14,000.00
9	404	MULTI-SEAL SURFACING INTERLAYER	8,064	λS	\$3.25	\$26,208.00
7	404	ASPHALT CONCRETE 2" SURFACE COURSE	480	λ	\$78.00	\$37,440.00
8	407	TACK COAT	806	GAL	\$1.00	\$806.00
6	452	PLAIN CONCRETE PAVEMENT	1,000	SF	\$6.00	\$6,000.00
10	. 603	12" CONDUIT	40	4	\$50.00	\$2,000.00
-	604	CATCH BASIN RECONSTRUCTED TO GRADE	10	EA	\$750.00	\$7,500.00
12	604	MANHOLE ADJUSTED TO GRADE	20	EA	\$250.00	\$5,000.00
13	604	NEW MANHOLE	4	EA	\$2,000.00	\$8,000.00
14	604	NEW CATCH BASIN	4	EA	\$1,800.00	\$7,200.00
15	608	CURB RAMP	13	EA	\$100.00	\$1,300.00

CDS Associates, Inc.

FROM ANTHONY WAYNE AVENUE TO MANGHAM DRIVE VILLAGE OF LINCOLN HEIGHTS, OHIO **LINDY AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS** PROJECT:


Project: 2000018-05 DATE: 9/15/00

SCIP

Item No.	Item Spec: No.	HEM	Estimated Quantity	Unit of Measure Unit Gost Total	Unit Cost Total	Item Cost
16	608	CONCRETE SIDEWALK	1,100	SF	\$5.00	\$5,500.00
17	609	CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	1,100	47	\$22.00	\$24,200.00
18	609	VERTICAL CURB	350	4	\$20.00	\$7,000.00
19	614	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	-	ST	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
20	642	PAVEMENT MARKING	•	LS.	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00
21	SPL	GEOGRID STABILIZATION	2,050	SY	\$3.00	\$6,150.00
		TOTAL				\$228,954.00
		CONTINGENCIES AT 10% ±				\$22,896.00
		TOTAL ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATE				\$251,850.00

CURB, 15 YEARS FOR THE ASPHALT CONCRETE COURSE, AND 50 YEARS FOR THE STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS. IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE 20 YEARS FOR THE CONCRETE USEFUL LIFE: UPON SATISFACTORY COMPLETION OF THE WORK, THE USEFUL LIFE OF THE LINDY AVENUE

AND CURRENT CONSTRUCTION COSTS. ACTUAL COST IS THE OPINION OF CONSTRUCTION COST IS SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT UPON DETAILED CONSTRUCTION PLANS, SCHEDULES AND BIDS BY QUALIFIED CONTRACTORS. SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT DUE TO CONSTRUCTION



Mark A. Kluesener, P.E. 8-20.00

Village of Lincoln Heights

1201 Steffens Avenue Lincoln Heights, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215 (513) 733-5900




CERTIFICATION OF FUNDS

Concerning the Lindy Avenue Improvement project, the Village of Lincoln Heights will contribute \$50,370.00 toward the project cost, an amount equal to a 20% local contribution.

I hereby certify the \$50,370.00 portion of the local share for the above project will be available and appropriated on or before the date listed in the Project Scheduled Section.

Carnell Mathews, Finance Director

AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION WILL BE FORWARDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER PRIOR TO DECEMBER 1, 2000.



CASH BASIS SUMMARY FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 19 99

WILLAGE Home / for

_ Nincola	Heights	VII	LLAGE	Hom Hon		OUNTY
	GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES	EXPENDABLE TRUST FUNDS	PROPRIETARY FUNDS	NON-EXPEND. TRUST FUNDS	AGENCY FUNDS	TOTAL MEMORANDUM ONLY
RECEIPTS	REVENU	E RECEIPTS:		RATING REVENU	E5:	古世代學院學院
Local Taxes	1.085,227.80	6468.96				1 1771,601.36
Intergovernmental Revenue	386,440-06	682.20				397.123.26
Special Assessments	102.544.89			がおおりませた。		102544.29
Charges for Services	45 301 911					45381.94
Fines, Licenses, & Permits	15.381.94		は出土を記るとは批准	いとなっていませた。		102924.87
Miscellaneous	1.7248.09		<u> </u>			6721209
TOTAL RECEIFTS	1.189.791.66	7151.16				1.796,942.82
DISBURSEMENTS	EXPENDITURE !	DISBURSEMENTS:		ERATING EXPENSI		
Current	73.0557.21	3426.75	CTEVALUE CASTRAGA			723484.56
Security of Persons & Property Public Health Services	1430.74					1430.74
Leisure Time Activities	192 832.11					192,832.11
Community Environment	40.690.08					110,690,08
Basic Utility Services	162729.61				-	122 872 57
Transportation	172 892.52					519 945.35
General Government Personal Services	569 945.35					
Travel Transportation						
Contractual Services						<u> </u>
Supplies and Materials		HERE HEADING THE RESERVE	 			\$2360.33
Capital Outlay	82360.33		CONTRACTOR IN			15290.02
Debt Service TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	1.5.290.02	3426.25	A PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF TH			1.973. 156.17
Total Receipts over/(under)	,					(175,313.35)
Disbursements	(178,93776)	3924.41				
		NG SOURCES/(USES)		ATING REVENUES/(EVPENSES:	
Local Taxes		は現代を古代出ては利用の事業	ļ.———			
Intergovernmental Revenues Proceeds from Sale of Debt			 			·
Sale of Bonds						
Sale of Notes						
Other Proceeds						
Miscellaneous						
Sale of Fixed Assets						
Other Sources/Nonoperating Rev.	17 5 (6) 0					135,623.00
Transfers-In	135.623.00			<u> </u>		7_27641764
Advances-In Transiers-Out	1 /35,623.00	t	1	()	()	135,623-00
Advances-Out					()	(
Debt Service	()	((K)	()	1	į į
Other (Uses) Nonop. Expenditures	115,000.00					1151000.00
TOTAL OTHER FIN. SOURCES/(USES)	11.510.0.00	8	<u> </u>			115.00,00
Excess Receipts and Other						
Financing Sources Over/(Under)	(63,937.76)	3724.41				
Expend. Disb. & Other Uses/Net	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1			
Fund Cash Balance January 1	1,026,108.31	5601.30	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		971,496,27
Fund Cash Balance December 31	962.170.55	9325.7/	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	67.644.17
Reserve for Encumbr. December 31	67.644.17					971.496.27
	<u> </u>		T	[Treasury Balance	188 850 74
	OUTSTANDING	NEW ISSUES	RETIRED	OUTSTANDING Dec. 31, 1999	Investments	783,445.53
Summary of Indebtedness	Jan 1, 19 <u>99</u>			Dec. 31, 1971	Cash on Hand	
Mortgage Revenue G O Bonds	77,000,00		14,000,00	66,000.00	Total Treasury Balance	971,496.27
G O Notes	ļ		 		Outstanding Checks	1 23,548.08
Revenue Anticipation Notes	ļ———		ļ	<u> </u>	TOTAL BALANCE	947,948.19
U.vv.D.st. Leans Industrial Dev. Bonds	<u> </u>		-		INTERNATIONE	170110-11
Other Bonds & Notes			<u> </u>			
TOTAL	77,000,00		11,000.00	(ele, 000.00		
Memoranda Data:	1	I certify the follow	ing report to be	correct and		
Assessed Valuation	22.848.000.	true, to the best of				, ,
Property Tax Levies:	Land a shine.	Maximal	Wit	1/24/00	F	en Ninnetno
• •	3.08	- COUNTELL	1/1/11/11/2010	1/24/00	Inan	e i jikeelok
Inside 10 Mill	17.00	(Chief Fiscal Office		(Date)	(Chief Fiscal Offi	cer Title)
Outside 10 Mill	618,762.95	1201 14	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	<i>~</i>	De in to	re Dinector (cer Title) 45 452.5
Municipal Income Tax		1201 250	experies les	15.	Village)	110 7000
Estimated Population	5014	(Street Addres	Matheu			(57b)
Federal Census Population	4805	1 // 40 10 //	Matha	n (-512-7	33 <i>-5900</i>
		PKIICI		<u> </u>		

TRAFFIC CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

This is to certify that the attached documentation regarding 24-hour traffic volume has been obtained by an actual mechanical count taken at the location and date noted on the traffic count printout.

Mark A. Kluesener, P.E. Date

Weather :

Counted by: Ehim, Jtol

Board # :01505

Other :


CDS Associates, Inc. 11120 Kenwood Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45242 (513) 791-1700

Site Code : 001999018006

Start Date: 09/07/99 File I.D. : 9901806


Street nam	<	EB		><-		WB			C				Page	<u>: 2</u>
Time	A.M.		P.M.		A.M.	næ	P.M.	><		ombined		>	Wednesday	
12:00 09/0			<u> </u>	 1	A.M.				A.M.		P.M.			
12:15	0		. 1	J I	5		11		1 5		12			
12:30	0		2	1	5		4		, <u> </u>		1.2			
12:45	0	1		12	2	12	6	70	•		6			-
01:00	. 0		9	14	0	12		28] 2	13	10	40		
01:15	0			1	1		7] 0		15			
01:30	1		3	1			3		1 1		6			
01:45		_	6		2	_	4] 3	_	10			
02:00	1	2		21	4	7	5	19	5	9	9	40		
02:00	1		3	ļ	0	-	9		! 1		1.2		ý	
02:15			3	l l	1		14		1		17			
02:30	1	_		!	0	_	5] 1		10			
02:45	0	2		15	0	1	13	41	į 0	3	17	56		
03:00	0		13		1		14		[I		27			
03:30	0		9		0		16		0		25			
·	. 1	_	4	!	1	_	18		2		22			
03:45	1	.2	8	34	0	2	10	58	1	4	18	92		
04:00	0	•	1		0		5		0		6			
04:15	0		2	!	0		7		0		9			
04:30	0		2	_ !	0		12		Q		14			
04:45	0	*	2	7	G	*	8	32	0	*	1.0	39		
05:00	0		. 5	[0	-	16		0		21			
05:15	0		4	!	D		.7		0		11			
05:30	0		10	1	2		15		2		25		,	
05:45	1	. 1	10	29	2	4	10	48	3	5	20	77		•
06:00	3		5	ļ	1		12	ļ	4		17			
06:15	3		3	. !	5		13	ļ	8		16			
06:30	1		7	!	1		17		2 ·		24			
06:45	3	10	2	17	0	7	7 -	49	3	17	9	66		
07:00	7		6		4		12	į	11		18			
07:15 07:30	12		8	!	3		6	ļ	15		14		•	
07:30	8 -		7	[5		14		1.3		21			
08:00	5	32	6	27	3	15	14	46	8	47	20	73		
08:15	6 5		3	1	3		12	!	9		15			
08:30	4		4	1	7		11	ŀ	1.3		15			•
08:45	2	18	3	1 n	4	••	6		8		9			
09:00	4		2	12	4	18	7	36	6	36	9	4.6		
09:15	3	•	4 . 2	l I	3 2		9 6	J	7		13			
09:30	3			- !					5		8			
09:45	9	19	2 4	12	4		4	94 1	. 7		6	•		
10:00	2	13		142	4	1.3	5	24	13	32	9	36		
10:15	4		5	i	1		8	į	3		13			
10:30			3	!	4		6	!	8		9			
10:45	4		1	- 1	5		3	!	9		4			
11:00	3	13	1	10	2	12	4	21	5	25	5	31		
11:15	4		2	į	8		2	!	12		4			
11:30	5		, 1	!	6		1	l	1.1		2			
11:30 11:45	4		- 2	- !	3		3	ţ	7		5			
Totals	44	17	2	7	8	25	1	7	12	42	3	14		
Day Totals	117		203		116	\sim	409		233		612			
		320			_	(525)				845				
Split %	50.2%		33.1%	4	9.74		<u>66.8%</u>							
Bowle =							-							
Peak Hour	07:00		03:00	1	1:00		02:45		07:00		03:00			
Volume P.H.F.	32		34		25		61,		47		92			
	-66		.65		.78		. 84		.7B		.85			

Lindy Avenue




Existing asphalt pavement is badly cracked and deteriorated along the south side of Lindy Avenue just east of Anthony Wayne Avenue.


Due to severe base failure, the existing asphalt pavement has settled, cracked and deteriorated along the south side of Lindy Avenue east of Anthony Wayne Avenue.



Lindy Avenue


Along the south side of Lindy Avenue east of Anthony Wayne Avenue, the existing asphalt pavement has settled, cracked and deteriorated due to severe base failure.






Due to base failure, the existing asphalt pavement has settled, cracked and deteriorated along the south side of Lindy Avenue west of Douglas Street.

Lindy Avenue



The existing asphalt pavement has settled due to severe base failure along the south side of Lindy Avenue, east of Douglas Street.


The existing asphalt pavement is badly cracked and deteriorated along the south side of Lindy Avenue at Magee Street in front of the elementary school.



Lindy Avenue

In front of the elementary school, the existing asphalt pavement is badly cracked and deteriorated and has settled due to severe base failure along the south side of Lindy Avenue west of McIntyre Street.





The existing asphalt pavement is badly cracked and deteriorated in front of the elementary school just west of McIntyre Street.

Lindy Avenue


Curb and gutter along the north side of the street badly cracked, deteriorated and non-existent.





Vertical curb and concrete slab along the north side of the street in front of the elementary school badly cracked and deteriorated.

Lindy Avenue



The asphalt and concrete pavement at the existing inlets is badly cracked, deteriorated, and has settled preventing water to flow into the inlets as designed. This is typical of many of the inlets along both sides of Lindy Avenue.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2001 (July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant shall also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

1) What is the condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

Give a brief statement of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. Examples of deficiencies include: structural design elements such as widths, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, etc.

The asphalt street has very severe base failure, cracking and deterioration requiring complete pavement removal and reconstruction in some sections. There are sections of the existing curb that are badly cracked and deteriorated. Existing inlets in poor condition.

2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

The existing pavement is severely rutted with numerous potholes and in some areas could lead to a loss of vehicle control. This concern is heightened by the presence of the elementary school and the number of children walking along the street.

3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

It is not anticipated that the completed project will have a significant adverse or beneficial impact on the health of the public and/or citizens of the service area.

4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?
The jurisdiction must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded or the basis of most to least importance.
Priority 1 Lindy Avenue Improvements
Priority 2 Medosch Street Improvements
Priority 3 Priority 4
Priority 5
5) Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?
Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.).
No X Yes If yes, what user fees and/or assessments will be utilized?
N/A
6) Economic Growth - How will the completed project enhance economic growth? Give a statement of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).
Lincoln Heights is in the process of creating an Urban Redevelopment District to revitalize the residential and business areas in the core of the Village. The Village is currently working with a major developer and an existing high tech business in the Village, to orchestrate a major expansion that would add 65 jobs in the next 2-1/2 years. Lindy Avenue is the northern boundary of the proposed district. It's rehabilitation, like that of other deteriorated infrastructure, is vital to the success of the redevelopment effort.
7) Matching Funds - LOCAL The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application for Financial Assistance" form.
8) Matching Funds - <u>OTHER</u>
The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application for Financial Assistance" form. If MRF funds are being used for matching funds, the MRF application must be filed by August 6 of this year for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office. List below, the source(s) of all "other" funding
N/A

needs of the	e District?	azards or i	espond to the	iuture level of service
Describe how the	proposed project will alleviate serious traffic pro	oblems or ha	zards (be specific	e).
The existing roaproposed project	ed condition presents a traffic hazard in that it twill remedy this situation. No capacity prob	could resul lems exist	t in a loss of vel on Lindy Avenu	hicle control: the ne.
For roadway bette methodology outl Manual.	erment projects, provide the existing and propose lined within AASHTO's "Geometric Design of Hi	d Level of Soghways and	ervice (LOS) of t Streets" and the	he facility using the 1985 Highway Capacity
Existing LOS	N/A Proposed LOS			
If the proposed de	esign year LOS is not "C" or better, explain why I	LOS "C" can	not be achieved.	
N/A		, 10.03-80		
10) IF SCIP / L	TIP funds are granted, when would the cor	istruction (contract be aw	arded?
If SCIP / LTIP fur 1, of this year fol	nds are awarded, how soon after receiving the Pro llowing the deadline for applications) would the orts of previous projects to help judge the accuracy	oject Agreen	nent from OPWC under contract?	tentatively set for July The Support Staff will
Number of Mont	ths 2			
a.) Are prelimin	ary plans or engineering completed?	Yes	Nox	N/A
b.) Are detailed	construction plans completed?	Yes	Nox	N/A
c.) Are all utility	coordination's completed?	Yes	No <u>x</u>	N/A
d.) Are all right-	of-way and easements acquired (if applicable)? Yes	No	N/A_ x
If no, how m	any parcels needed for project? Of	these, how	Temp	s oorary anent
For any parce	els not yet acquired, explain the status of the F	ROW acouis		
		to ii doquii	inon product	or and project.
<u>N</u> /A				
	nate of time needed to complete any item abouths. Utility coordination concurrent with plan			

11) Does the infrastructure have regiona	d impact?
Mangham Drive on the east side of Lincoln	ng Anthony Wayne Avenue on the west side of Lincoln Heights with the Heights. The Lincoln Heights Community Center and the borth side of Lindy Avenue just west of Mangham Drive.
12) What is the overall economic health	of the jurisdiction?
The District 2 Integrating Committee predet jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when	ermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a census and other budgetary data are updated.
13) Has any formal action by a federa complete ban of the usage or expansi	al, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or on of the usage for the involved infrastructure?
infrastructure? Typical examples include weight	which resulted in a ban of the use of or expansion of use for the involved the limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of the caused by a structural or operational problem to be considered valid, on would be helpful.
No ban	
Will the ban be removed after the project is	s completed? YesNoN/Ax
14) What is the total number of existing of	daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?
documentation substantiating the count. Who documented traffic counts prior to the restric	ge Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, submittered the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use tion. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related in the service area by 4. User information must be documented and dictions' C.E.O.
Traffic: ADT <u>845</u> x 1.2	0 = 1.014 Users
Water / Sewer: Homes x 4.0	0 =Users
15) Has the jurisdiction enacted the op dedicated tax for the pertinent infras	otional \$5.00 plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or tructure?
The applying jurisdiction shall list what tylinfrastructure being applied for.	pe of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of
Operational \$5.00 License Tax YES	Specify type \$5.00 Permissive Motor Vehicle License Fee
	Specify type
	Specify type
	Specify type
Other Fee, Levy or Tax	Specify type

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

PRIORITY LISTS OF PROJECTS PROGRAM YEAR 2001 ROUND 15

Name of Ju	rrisdiction: VILLAGE OF LINCOLN HEIGHTS
applied fo	oply the Integrating Committee a listing, in order of priority, of all projects r in this round of funding. A maximum of five points may be listed for the fassigning priority.
<u>Priority</u>	Name of Project (as listed on the application)
1	LINDY AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS
2	MEDOSCH STREET IMPROVEMENTS
3	
4	<u> </u>
=	

SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM ROUND 15 - PROGRAM YEAR 2001 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA JULY 1, 2001 TO JUNE 30, 2002

NAI	ME OF APPLICANT: Lencoln Heights				
NAI	ME OF PROJECT: <u>Lindy avenue ilmprovements</u>				
	ING TEAM:				
NO2	TE: See the attached "Addendum To The Rating System" for definitions, a to each of the criterion points of this rating system.	explanations and clarifications			
	CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING				
1)	What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?				
	25 - Failed	Appeal Score			
	23)- Critical				
	20 - Very Poor				
	17 - Poor				
	15 - Moderately Poor				
	10 - Moderately Fair				
	5 - Fair Condition				
	0 - Good or Better				
2)	How important is the project to the <u>safety</u> of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?				
	25 - Highly significant importance	Appeal Score			
	20 - Considerably significant importance	* *			
	15 - Moderate importance				
	10 - Minimal importance				
	①- No measurable impact				
3)	How important is the project to the <u>health</u> of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?				
	25 - Highly significant importance	Appeal Score			
	20 - Considerably significant importance				
	15 - Moderate importance				
	10 - Minimal importance				
	(i) - No measurable impact				
4)	Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction? Note: Jurisdiction's priority listing (part of the Additional Support Information) must be filed with application(s).				
	(25)- First priority project	Appeal Score			
	20 - Second priority project	* *			
	15 Third priority project				
	10 - Fourth priority project				
	5 - Fifth priority project or lower				
5)	Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?				
	(10) - No	Appeal Score			
	0 – Yes	**			

6)	Economic Growth – How the completed project will enhance economic growth (See definition	ons).		
	10 – The project will <u>directly</u> secure <u>significant</u> new employment 7 - The project will <u>directly</u> secure new employment 5 – The project will secure new employment 3 – The project will permit more development	Appeal Score		
7)				
	10 - This project is a loan or credit enhancement 10 - 50% or higher 8 - 40% to 49.99% 6 - 30% to 39.99% 4- 20% to 29.99% 2 - 10% to 19.99% 0 - Less than 10%			
8)	Matching Funds - <u>OTHER</u>			
	10 – 50% or higher 8 – 40% to 49.99% 6 – 30% to 39.99% 4 – 20% to 29.99% 2 – 10% to 19.99% 1 – 1% to 9.99% ①— Less than 1%			
9)	Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district? (See Addendum for definitions)			
	 10 - Project design is for future demand. 8 - Project design is for partial future demand. 6 - Project design is for current demand. 4 - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity. 2- Project design is for no increase in capacity. 	Appeal Score		
10)	Ability to Proceed - If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (See Addendum concerning delinquent projects)			
	Will be under contract by December 31, 2001 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 12 & 13 3 - Will be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13 0 - Will not be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13			
11)	Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffior of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc. (See Addendum for definitions)	ic, functional classifications, siz		
	10 - Major impact 8 - 6 - Moderate impact	Appeal Score		
	4- 2- Minimal or no impact	·		

12)	What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?				
	(10)Points				
	8 Points				
	6 Points				
	4 Points				
	2 Points				
13)	Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?				
	10 - Complete ban, facility closed	Appeal Score			
	8 – 80% reduction in legal load or 4 wheeled vehicles only	-			
	7 - Moratorium on future development, not functioning for current demand				
	6 – 60% reduction in legal load				
	5 - Moratorium on future development, functioning for current demand				
	4 – 40% reduction in legal load				
	2-20% reduction in legal load (0)– Less than 20% reduction in legal load				
	0)- Less than 20 % leduction in legal toad				
14)	What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?				
	10 - 16,000 or more	Appeal Score			
	8 - 12,000 to 15,999				
	6 - 8,000 to 11,999				
	4 - 4,000 to 7,999				
	2- 3,999 and under				
15)	Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or depertinent infrastructure? (Provide documentation of which fees have been enacted.)	dicated tax for the			
	5 - Two or more of the above	Appeal Score			
	3- One of the above				
	0 - None of the above	<u> </u>			

ADDENDUM TO THE RATING SYSTEM

General Statement for Rating Criteria

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applicant, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

Criterion 1 - Condition

Condition is based on the amount of deterioration that is field verified or documented exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. Condition is rated only on the facility being repaired or abandoned. (Documentation may include: ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application.)

Definitions:

<u>Failed Condition</u> - requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: completely non functioning and replacement parts are unavailable.)

<u>Critical Condition</u> - requires moderate or partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: some non-functioning, others obsolete and replacement parts are unavailable.)

<u>Verv Poor Condition</u> - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or minor replacement of pipe sections; Hydrants: non-functioning and replacement parts are available.)

<u>Poor Condition</u> - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs; Hydrants: functional, but leaking and replacement parts are unavailable.)

<u>Moderately Poor Condition</u> - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair; Hydrants: functional and replacement parts are available.)

Moderately Fair Condition - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

<u>Fair Condition</u> - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

Good or Better Condition - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

<u>Note:</u> If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will <u>NOT</u> be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

Criterion 2 – Safety

The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (e.g. widening existing roadway lanes to standard widths, adding lanes to a roadway or bridge to increase capacity or alleviate congestion, replacing non-functioning hydrants, increasing capacity to a water system, etc. Documentation is required.)

<u>Note:</u> Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Criterion 3 – Health

The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area (e.g. Improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.)

<u>Note</u>: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Criterion 4 – Jurisdiction's Priority Listing

The jurisdiction <u>must</u> submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance. The form is included in the Additional Support Information.

Criterion 5 – Generate Fees

Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.). The applying jurisdiction must submit documentation.

Criterion 6 – Economic Growth

Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development in the service area?

Definitions:

<u>Directly secure significant new employment:</u> The project is specifically designed to secure a particular development/employer(s), which will add at least 100 or more new employees. The applicant agency must supply specific details of the development, the employer(s), and number of new permanent employees.

<u>Directly secure new employment:</u> The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add at least 50 new permanent employees. The applying agency must supply details of the development and the type and number of new permanent employees.

<u>Secure new employment:</u> The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add 10 or more new permanent employees. The applying agency must submit details.

<u>Permit more development:</u> The project is designed to permit additional business development. The applicant must supply details.

The project will not impact development: The project will have no impact on business development.

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply.

Criterion 7 – Matching Funds - Local

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying local government,

Criterion 8 - Matching Funds - Other

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7.

Criterion 9 – Alleviate Traffic Problems

The jurisdiction shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion or hazards will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

Design Year	Design year factor			
	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Suburban</u>	<u>Rural</u>	
20	1.40	1.70	1.60	
10	1.20	1.35	1.30	

Definitions:

<u>Future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twenty-year projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Partial future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Current demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

<u>Minimal increase</u> – Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

No increase - Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

Criterion 10 - Ability to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and OPWC defined delinquent projects. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. A jurisdiction receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application may be considered as having a delinquent project.

=

Criterion 11 - Regional Impact

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

Definitions:

Major Impact - Roads: major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes.

Moderate Impact - Roads: principal thoroughfares, Federal Aid Urban routes

Minimal / No Impact - Roads: cul-de-sacs, subdivision streets

Criterion 12 – Economic Health

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.

Criterion 13 - Ban

The jurisdiction shall provide documentation to show that a facility ban or moratorium has been formally placed. The ban or moratorium must have been caused by a structural or operational problem. Points will only be awarded if the end result of the project will cause the ban to be lifted.

Criterion 14 - Users

The applying jurisdiction shall provide documentation. A registered professional engineer or the applying jurisdictions' C.E.O must certify the appropriate documentation. Documentation may include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

Criterion 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.

The applying jurisdiction shall document (in the "Additional Support Information" form) which type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.